

3 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

4 Evaluating diabetic patients'
5 perceptions and attitudes toward
6 telemedicine visits during the
7 period of the COVID-19 pandemic

8 Feda Saad Hammad Alkhowaiter^{1*}, Manal Awadh Almutairi¹,
9 Njoud Saud Ghazi Alshammari²

10 ABSTRACT

11 **Background:** Coronavirus disease 2019 demands more awareness of telemedicine among the Saudi commu-
12 nity. Few studies address the perception of diabetic patients about telemedicine in Saudi Arabia. This study
13 aimed to examine the attitude and perception of diabetic patients regarding telemedicine and to address the
14 factors affecting satisfaction.

15 **Methods:** A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted among diabetic patients in Saudi Arabia from
16 March to June 2022. A structured self-prepared questionnaire was developed by Google Forms and distributed
17 through social media platforms. All statistical calculations were performed using: Statistical Package for the
18 Social Sciences version 26.

19 **Results:** One hundred diabetic patients completed the questionnaire. About 55% were females. The majority
20 (94.8%) were Saudi, aged more than 40 years (77.6%), married (82%), unemployed (62%), hold a bachelor's
21 degree (51%), and 45% had diabetes more than 5 years. About 56% experienced only audio calls, 30% expe-
22 rienced video calls, and 14% experienced both. Most participants (93%) were not confused during telehealth
23 consultation, 78.8% were satisfied with the experience in general, 83% would recommend it to others, and 49%
24 see that telehealth can be the primary mean of counseling shortly. More than half of the participants (62%)
25 mentioned that they prefer to see a physician in person. Patients younger than 40 reported a significantly
26 higher satisfaction rate with telehealth (95.5%) than older patients (73.3%), $p = 0.037$.

27 **Conclusion:** Diabetic patients were generally satisfied with telehealth consultations. Further studies are
28 needed to examine how to enhance patients' experiences and explore their preferences.

29 **Keywords:** Diabetes, telemedicine, telehealth, COVID-19, Saudi Arabia.

30 Introduction

31 Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a complex, chronic disease that
32 can lead to mortality. It imposes a substantial economic
33 burden on all governments worldwide. Its prevalence is
34 alarmingly increasing worldwide, from 4.6% to 9.1% in
35 only 17 years. Recent data has shown that around 10% of
36 the global adult population is diabetic [1-3]. The World
37 Health Organization (WHO) has reported that Saudi
38 Arabia has the seventh highest rate of diabetes worldwide
39 and the second highest in the Middle East. Research
40 has estimated that approximately 7 million Saudis have
41 diabetes [4]. With the outbreak of the coronavirus disease
42 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, telemedicine has proved

very useful for treating diabetic patients. Technologies 43
are now being adopted to avoid the need to visit the 44
doctor and hospital physically and still be able to provide 45

Correspondence to: Feda Saad Hammad Alkhowaiter
*Qassim University, Saudi Arabia.

Email: Fedaskh@gmail.com

*Full list of author information is available at the end of
this article.*

Received: 20 July 2022 | **Accepted:** 15 August 2022

46 suitable treatment options by adopting the virtualized
47 treatment approaches for the patient [5-7]. The WHO has
48 defined telemedicine as delivering healthcare services
49 to distant patients through communication technology.
50 Telemedicine comprises exchanging information for
51 easy diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of diseases and
52 injuries; continuing education; or research and evaluation
53 [8]. Telemedicine is a live audio-visual interaction
54 between a healthcare provider and a patient. It can be
55 both a screening and diagnostic tool [9].

56 Initially, telemedicine was used to provide medical
57 attention to people residing in rural areas or in cases
58 where access to medical care is challenging to improve
59 the management of urgent diseases and in cases of
60 emergencies [10,11]. However, the employment of
61 telemedicine progressively increased between 2004
62 and 2017 due to its ability to increase access to medical
63 care in mental health and primary care settings as well
64 as other specialities [12,13]. Telemedicine has been
65 proven to be advantageous as both time and cost-
66 effective. It also provides patients with direct and instant
67 access to healthcare without considering their location.
68 Telemedicine services have been recommended for
69 patients with cancers, immunodeficiency, diabetes,
70 asthma, and patients awaiting surgery. Telemedicine has
71 also provided palliative programs and consultations [14].
72 Also, it has been established to be efficacious in screening
73 diabetes-related complications such as radiculopathy.
74 The modern technology provided with fundus cameras
75 and other portable devices has enabled patients to take
76 clear retinal photos that help specialists in the screening
77 process. This method has helped offer screening services
78 to a much more significant portion of the diabetic
79 population. The comparison between the standard fundus
80 oculus exam and telemedicine demonstrated the excellent
81 efficacy of nonmydriatic cameras in terms of sensitivity
82 and specificity [15].

83 Saudi Arabia, like most countries, has implemented
84 COVID-19 preventive measures such as total and
85 partial lockdowns to help control the infection's spread.
86 Unfortunately, this resulted in the disruption of routine
87 management of diabetic patients. To counteract this,
88 many healthcare centers and hospitals implemented
89 telemedicine programs to provide diabetic patients
90 with the necessary medical care in a timely, appropriate
91 manner [16,17]. Several studies were conducted in Saudi
92 Arabia to evaluate patients' satisfaction with telemedicine
93 services during COVID-19 pandemics. The results
94 showed that most telemedicine service participants were
95 satisfied [18,19]. However, with the rise in demand and
96 use of telemedicine, there is little to know about the
97 satisfaction of diabetic patients with telemedicine in the
98 Saudi community. Therefore, it is essential to understand
99 the perception of these patients toward adopting
100 telemedicine during the present COVID-19 pandemic to
101 address the obstacles to physical distancing counseling.
102 Therefore, this study was established to assess the attitude
103 and perception of patients attending clinics concerning
104 telemedicine to ease its usage. In addition, the factors

potentially affecting the level of awareness and attitude 105
were evaluated. 106

107 Subjects and Methods

108 A cross-sectional observational study was conducted 108
on diabetic patients from March to June 2022 using 109
an online questionnaire. The included population was 110
diabetic patients attending primary healthcare centers 111
who could fill out the questionnaire and were willing 112
to participate in the study. The study questionnaire was 113
designed with some modifications to determine the 114
diabetic patients' attitudes and perceptions of behaviors 115
toward telemedicine use during the COVID-19 pandemic 116
[20]. The survey was distributed through social media 117
platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and 118
WhatsApp. A total of 100 diabetic patients were included 119
in the study. The participants were directed automatically 120
to the survey by clicking on the link. Participation in this 121
study was voluntary, and each subject had the right to 122
withdraw at any time. The structured online questionnaire- 123
based study was asked in Arabic via Google Forms. 124
The information in the questionnaire was designed to 125
illustrate socio-demographic characteristics, type of 126
telehealth counseling experience, reasons for counseling 127
attitudes, and perceptions of diabetic patients toward 128
telemedicine services. The choices of questions related to 129
attitudes of diabetic patients toward telemedicine service 130
were: "Yes," "No," and "Neutral." All data in the study 131
were collected within the Google Forms spreadsheet 132
system, and no one can access these data except the study 133
investigators. Statistical data analysis was conducted 134
using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 135
26) software. Descriptive and comparative statistical 136
analysis will be used. Categorical variables will be 137
summarized as frequencies and percentages. The factors 138
affecting satisfaction and attitude were investigated using 139
comparative tests such as Chi-square and Fisher exact 140
t-test. A significance level of 0.05 will be considered for 141
all comparative tests. 142

143 Results

144 In the current study, 100 diabetic patients were included. 144
Gender was almost equally distributed (55 were 145
females). Most respondents ($n = 76$) were at age 40 or 146
older (77.6%), and the remainder ($n = 22$) were below 147
40 (22.4%). Saudi participants ($n = 92$) were considered 148
94.8%. Most respondents were married ($n = 82$) and held 149
a university degree ($n = 51$). Most of the respondents 150
were unemployed ($n = 62$) (62%). Most participants ($n =$ 151
45) have been diagnosed with DM for over 5 years (45%). 152
Complete demographic characteristics are demonstrated 153
in Table 1. 154

155 In the current study, the type and the duration of the 155
telehealth counseling experience and the reasons for 156
counseling were identified in Table 2. About 56% of 157
the participants ($n = 56$) used the audio call, and about 158
30 responders (30%) used the video call. The most 159
frequent duration of telehealth consultation was less than 160

161 **Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of participants.

Participants' characteristics		Count N = 100	Percentage
Gender	Male	45	45
	Female	55	55
Age	< 40 year	22	22.4
	≥ 40 years	76	77.6
Social status	Single	8	8
	Married	82	82
	Divorced	6	6
	Widowed	4	4
Education	Illiterate	2	2
	High school	37	37
	Graduate	51	51
	Postgraduate	10	10
Nationality	Saudi	92	94.8
	Non-Saudi	5	5.2
Employment status	Unemployed	62	62
	Employed	38	38
Year of diagnosis	Less than 1 year	17	17
	1-5 years	37	37
	More than 5 years	45	45

163 **Table 2.** Factors related to telehealth counseling.

Parameters	Categories	Count (N = 100)	Percentage
Type of telehealth	Audio	56	56
	Video	30	30
	Both	14	14
Duration of telehealth call	Less than 15 minutes	89	89
	More than 15 minutes	11	11
Reason for counselling	Medication refill	26	26
	Follow-up	48	48
	New-consultation	10	10
	Review laboratory test	16	16

165 15 minutes of call ($n = 89$, 89%). Follow-up was the
 166 primary reason for telehealth counselling ($n = 48$, 48%),
 167 then getting a medication refill ($n = 26$, 26%).

168 Table 3 illustrates the attitudes and perceptions of
 169 participants toward the telehealth experience counseling.
 170 Most respondents ($n = 93$, 93%) did not find any confusing
 171 or complicated aspects of telehealth implementation.
 172 Around 83 (83%) of respondents might recommend the
 173 implementation of telemedicine services to friends or
 174 family members. About 78 (78.8%) of participants were
 175 satisfied with the access to telehealth consultation, and
 176 only four were unsatisfied. Regarding satisfaction with
 177 the availability of physicians during telehealth calls, 81
 178 (82.7%) of individuals were satisfied; on the other hand,

179 62 respondents preferred to see the physician in person; 179
 180 whereas 31 respondents were neutral. Finally, making 180
 181 telehealth consultation the primary mean shortly was 181
 182 agreed upon by 49% of the participants. 182

183 The factors associated with the attitudes and perceptions 183
 184 of individuals toward telehealth applications are shown in 184
 185 Table 4. A significantly higher proportion of participants 185
 186 younger than 40 were satisfied with telehealth (95.5%) 186
 187 compared to older participants (73.3%) with, a p -value 187
 188 of 0.037. On the other hand, other factors such as gender, 188
 189 social status, education, nationality, employment status, 189
 190 duration of diabetes, the reason for the counseling, and 190
 191 duration and type of telehealth consultation session 191

192 **Table 3.** Participants' attitude toward telehealth during DM diagnosis.

Parameters	Categories	Count N = 100	Percentage
	Confusing and/ or complicated were found about using telehealth consultation.	Yes	7
Neutral		0	0
No		93	93
Satisfaction with the access to telehealth consultation	Yes	78	78.8
	Neutral	17	17.2
	No	4	4
Satisfaction with the availability of physician	Yes	81	82.7
	Neutral	14	14.3
	No	3	3.1
Recommending telehealth to a friend or a family member	Yes	83	83
	No	17	17
Telehealth consultation could be the primary means of consultation in the near future.	Yes	49	49
	Neutral	23	23
	No	28	28
193 Preferring to see a physician in person	Yes	62	62
	Neutral	31	31
	No	7	7

194 **Table 4.** Factors affecting participants' satisfaction with telehealth.

Characteristics of the study group		Satisfied with telehealth counseling		
		Yes	No	p-value
Gender	Male	37 (84.1%)	7 (15.9%)	0.248
	Female	41 (74.5%)	14 (25.5%)	
Age	< 40 years	21 (95.5%)	1 (4.5%)	0.037
	≥ 40 years	55 (73.3%)	20 (26.7%)	
Social status	Single	7 (87.5%)	1 (12.5%)	0.721
	Married	63 (77.8%)	18 (22.2%)	
	Divorced	4 (66.7%)	2 (33.3%)	
	Widowed	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	
Education	Non graduated	28 (73.7%)	10 (26.3%)	0.327
	graduated	50 (82%)	11 (18%)	
Nationality	Saudi	70 (76.9%)	21 (23.1%)	0.582
	Non-Saudi	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	
Employment status	Unemployed	49 (80.3%)	12 (19.7%)	0.635
	Employed	29 (76.3%)	9 (23.7%)	
Year of DM diagnosis	Less than 1 year	14 (82.4%)	3 (17.6%)	0.735
	1-5 years	30 (81.1%)	7 (18.9%)	
	More than 5 years	33 (75%)	11 (25%)	
Reason for counselling	Medication refill	19 (73.1%)	7 (26.9%)	0.058
	Follow-up	40 (83.3%)	8 (16.7%)	
	New-consultation	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	
	Review laboratory test	14 (93.3%)	1 (6.7%)	
Duration of Telehealth	Less than 15 minutes	69 (78.4%)	19 (21.6%)	1.000
	More than 15 minutes	9 (81.8%)	2 (18.2%)	
195 Type of telehealth	Audio	42 (76.4%)	13 (23.6%)	0.381
	Video	23 (76.7%)	7 (23.3%)	
	Both	13 (92.9%)	1 (7.1%)	

196 showed no significant impact on participants' satisfaction
197 rate shown in Table 4.

198 Discussion

199 COVID-19 causes unparalleled issues in the relationship
200 between diabetic patients and physicians. As patients
201 worry about direct contact with physicians and vice
202 versa, telemedicine has facilitated communication
203 between patients and physicians. Generally, prior studies
204 demonstrated that telemedicine is considered an effective
205 tool in providing health care services to patients during
206 the COVID-19 pandemic [19]. Assessing patients'
207 satisfaction with telemedicine and the corresponding
208 factors will aid in evaluating telemedicine implementation
209 [21]. However, to our knowledge, no previous study was
210 conducted among diabetic patients to investigate their
211 attitude/perception about telehealth counseling.

212 The current study showed increased satisfaction among
213 diabetic patients toward their experience of telehealth
214 counseling during the COVID-19 pandemic. This was by
215 previous studies that illustrated a higher satisfaction of
216 patients and the clinical outcome when using telemedicine
217 compared with patients who were not using it [22,23].
218 Although, the studies about telemedicine implementation
219 were commonly carried out in developed countries rather
220 than in Saudi Arabia or other countries worldwide [24].
221 Although as demonstrated in our study, most participants
222 (62%) preferred seeing the physician in person. This could
223 be justified due to a lack of trust in virtual counseling and
224 physicians. Prior studies established in the COVID-19
225 era showed that patients who seek telehealth counseling
226 rather than an in-person visit had a previous trustworthy
227 relationship between patient and physician before
228 choosing virtual consultation [19,25]

229 The present study focused on the factors that may
230 associate with the attitudes and convictions of individuals
231 toward telemedicine. In this study, participants aged
232 40 or more had significantly higher satisfaction with
233 telemedicine than other participants. A previous study
234 in the United States of America (USA) found that age,
235 gender, nationality, and education did not affect patients'
236 attitudes toward telemedicine [26]. Another study in
237 Saudi Arabia emphasized a non-significant effect of
238 gender, nationality, and education level on satisfaction
239 with telehealth. However, the later study empowered the
240 significant satisfaction of respondents aged 18-25 toward
241 telemedicine compared with participants above 25 years
242 [27].

243 A recent study in the USA showed that audio calls and
244 electronic health records facilitated the treatment and
245 follow-up of patients, especially in emergency cases
246 [28]. The current study showed that the reason for
247 telehealth counseling might affect patients' satisfaction;
248 however, the effect was not statistically significant.
249 For instance, respondents who needed only follow-up
250 were more pleasant with telemedicine than those who
251 needed a new consultation. A prior study showed that

one of the challenges of telemedicine was the lack of
physical check-up that was essential for getting a further
consultation. But that issue did not apply to the follow-up
[29]. Moreover, more aspects were assessed in our study,
such as other demographics, duration of diabetes disease,
and factors related to telehealth counseling but with no
significant effect on the satisfaction of diabetic patients.
The limitations of this study were the small sample size
and recall bias.

Conclusion

Generally, our study has demonstrated a higher satisfaction
toward implementing telehealth experiences during the
COVID-19 pandemic, especially among younger adults.
Therefore, future research is recommended to investigate
further the reasons for older adults' dissatisfaction to find
practical solutions to cover any gaps and ensure better
healthcare for this group of patients while keeping them
safe.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Evidence; Clinical
Research Solutions, for their support in biostatistics and
manuscript editing.

List of Abbreviations

COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019	275
USA	United States of America	276
WHO	World Health Organization	277

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest
regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

None.

Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

Ethical approval

Author details

Feda Saad Hammad Alkhowaiter¹, Manal Awadh Almutairi¹,
Njoud Saud Ghazi Alshammari²
1. Internal Medicine Department
2. Internal Medicine Department

References

1. Amiri S, Behnezhad S. Diabetes and anxiety symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Psychiatry Med.* 2019;0091217419837407. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091217419837407>
2. International Diabetes Federation. *International Diabetes Federation (IDF) Diabetes Atlas. 1st ed.* Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2000. Available from: <http://www.diabetesatlas.org>
3. International Diabetes Federation. *International Diabetes Federation (IDF) Diabetes Atlas. 8th ed.* Brussels, Belgium:

- 302 International Diabetes Federation; 2017. Available from:
303 <http://www.diabetesatlas.org>
- 304 4. Al Dawish MA, Robert AA, Braham R, Al Hayek AA, Al
305 Saeed A, Ahmed RA, et al. Diabetes mellitus in Saudi
306 Arabia: a review of the recent literature. *Curr Diabetes*
307 *Rev.* 2016;12(4):359–68. [https://doi.org/10.2174/15733](https://doi.org/10.2174/1573399811666150724095130)
308 [99811666150724095130](https://doi.org/10.2174/1573399811666150724095130)
- 309 5. Bahl S, Javaid M, Bagha AK, Singh RP, Haleem A, Vaishya
310 R, et al. Biosensors applications in fighting COVID-19
311 pandemic. *Apollo Med.* 2020;17(3):221. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4103/am.am_56_20)
312 [org/10.4103/am.am_56_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/am.am_56_20)
- 313 6. Haleem A, Javaid M, Vaishya R, Deshmukh SG. Areas
314 of academic research with the impact of COVID-19.
315 *Am J Emerg Med.* 2020;38(7):1524–6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.022)
316 [org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.022)
- 317 7. Ghosh A, Gupta R, Misra A. Telemedicine for diabetes care
318 in India during COVID19 pandemic and national lockdown
319 period: guidelines for physicians. *Diabetes Metab*
320 *Syndr.* 2020;14(4):273–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.001)
321 [dsx.2020.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.001)
- 322 8. The World Health Organization (WHO). Telemedicine-
323 opportunities and developments in member states.
324 2nd ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2010 [cited
325 2022 July 7]. Available from: [https:// www.who.int/goe/](https://www.who.int/goe/publications/goe_telemedicine_2010.pdf)
326 [publications/goe_telemedicine_2010.pdf](https://www.who.int/goe/publications/goe_telemedicine_2010.pdf)
- 327 9. Colucci M. Communication technologies through an
328 etymological lens: looking for a classification, reflections
329 about health, medicine and care. *Med Health Care Philos.*
330 2015;18(4):601–6. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-015-9657-2)
331 [9657-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-015-9657-2)
- 332 10. Bashshur RL, Shannon GW, Smith BR, Alverson DC,
333 Antoniotti N, Barsan WG, et al. The empirical foundations
334 of telemedicine interventions for chronic disease
335 management. *Telemed J E Health.* 2014;20(9):769–800.
336 <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2014.9981>
- 337 11. Rolston DM, Meltzer JS. Telemedicine in the intensive
338 care unit: its role in emergencies and disaster
339 management. *Crit Care Clin.* 2015;31(2):239–55. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2014.12.004)
340 doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2014.12.004
- 341 12. Barnett ML, Ray KN, Souza J, Mehrotra A. Trends in
342 telemedicine use in a large commercially insured
343 population, 2005-2017. *JAMA.* 2018;320(20):2147–9.
344 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.12354>
- 345 13. Mehrotra A, Jena AB, Busch AB, Souza J, Uscher-Pines
346 L, Landon BE. Utilization of telemedicine among rural
347 Medicare beneficiaries. *JAMA.* 2016;315(18):2015–6.
348 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.2186>
- 349 14. Baudier P, Kondrateva G, Ammi C, Chang V, Schiavone
350 F. Patients' perceptions of teleconsultation during
351 COVID-19: a cross-national study. *Technol Forecast Soc*
352 *Change.* 2021;163:120510. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120510)
353 [techfore.2020.120510](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120510)
- 354 15. Bawankar P, Shanbhag N, Dhawan B, Palsule A, Kumar D,
355 Chandel S, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of automated
356 analysis of single-field non-mydratric fundus photographs
357 by Bosch DR algorithm-comparison with mydratric fundus
358 photography (ETDRS) for screening in undiagnosed
diabetic retinopathy. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(12):e0189854. 359
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189854> 360
16. Alumran A. Role of precautionary measures in 361
containing the natural course of novel coronavirus 362
disease. *J Multidiscip Health.* 2020;13:615. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S261643) 363
[org/10.2147/JMDH.S261643](https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S261643) 364
17. Tourkmani AM, ALHarbi TJ, Rsheed AMB, Alrasheedy 365
AA, ALMadani W, ALJuraisi F, et al. The impact of 366
telemedicine on patients with uncontrolled type 2 367
diabetes mellitus during the COVID-19 pandemic in 368
Saudi Arabia: findings and implications. *J Telemed* 369
Telecare. 2021:1357633X20985763. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20985763) 370
[org/10.1177/1357633X20985763](https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20985763) 371
18. Alfaleh A, Alkattan A, Salah M, Almutairi M, Sagor K, 372
Alageel A, et al. Telemedicine and patient satisfaction 373
in Saudi Arabia. *medRxiv.* 2021. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.22.21259347) 374
[org/10.1101/2021.06.22.21259347](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.22.21259347) 375
19. Alajwari HA, Alfayez A, Alsalman D, Alanezi F, Alhodaib 376
H, Al-Rayes S, et al. Knowledge and attitude of Saudi 377
Arabian citizens towards telemedicine during the 378
COVID-19 pandemic. *Int Health.* 2021;ihab082. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihab082) 379
doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihab082 380
20. Naik N, Ibrahim S, Sircar S, Patil V, Hameed BM, Rai 381
BP, et al. Attitudes and perceptions of outpatients 382
towards adoption of telemedicine in healthcare during 383
COVID-19 pandemic. *Ir J Med Sci.* 2021:1–8. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-021-02729-6) 384
[org/10.1007/s11845-021-02729-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-021-02729-6) 385
21. Orrange S, Patel A, Mack WJ, Cassetta J. Patient satisfaction 386
and trust in telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic: 387
retrospective observational study. *JMIR Hum Factors.* 388
2021;8(2):e28589. <https://doi.org/10.2196/28589> 389
22. El-Mahalli AA, El-Khafif SH, Al-Qahtani MF. Successes 390
and challenges in the implementation and application 391
of telemedicine in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. 392
Perspect Health Inf Manag/AHIMA. 2012;9(Fall):1–27. 393
23. Nesbitt TS, Marcin JP, Daschbach MM, Cole SL. Perceptions 394
of local health care quality in 7 rural communities with 395
telemedicine. *J Rural Health.* 2005;21(1):79–85. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-0361.2005.tb00066.x) 396
doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-0361.2005.tb00066.x 397
24. Uscher-Pines L, Mehrotra A. Analysis of Teladoc use seems 398
to indicate expanded access to care for patients without 399
prior connection to a provider. *Health Aff.* 2014;33(2):258– 400
64. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0989> 401
25. Donaghy E, Atherton H, Hammersley V, McNeilly H, Bikker 402
A, Robbins L, et al. Acceptability, benefits, and challenges 403
of video consulting: a qualitative study in primary care. 404
Br J Gen Pract. 2019;69(686):e586–94. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704141) 405
[org/10.3399/bjgp19X704141](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704141) 406
26. Valikodath NG, Leveque TK, Wang SY, Lee PP, Newman- 407
Casey PA, Hansen SO, et al. Patient attitudes toward 408
telemedicine for diabetic retinopathy. *Telemed J E* 409
Health. 2017;23(3):205–12. [https://doi.org/10.1089/](https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2016.0108) 410
[tmj.2016.0108](https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2016.0108) 411
27. Nasser AA, Alzahrani RM, Fellah CA, Jreash DM, 412
Almuwallad NT, Bakulka DS, et al. Measuring the patients' 413
satisfaction about telemedicine used in Saudi Arabia 414
during COVID-19 pandemic. *Cureus.* 2021;13(2):e13382. 415

Evaluating diabetic patients' perceptions and attitudes toward telemedicine

- 416 28. Simcock R, Thomas TV, Estes C, Filippi AR, Katz MS, 421
417 Pereira IJ. Covid-19: global radiation oncology's targeted 422
418 response for pandemic preparedness. *Clin Transl Radiat* 423
419 *Oncol.* 2020;22:55–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2020.03.009) 424
420 [ctro.2020.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2020.03.009)
29. Kumar S, Kumar A, Kumar M, Kumar A, Arora R, Sehwat 421
R. Feasibility of telemedicine in maintaining follow-up of 422
orthopaedic patients and their satisfaction: a preliminary 423
study. *J Clin Orthop Trauma.* 2020;11:S704–10. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2020.07.026) 424
doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2020.07.026 425