International Journal of Diabetes and Endocrinology 2022; 7(3): 81-90 http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/j/ijde doi: 10.11648/j.ijde.20220703.17 ISSN: 2640-1363 (Print); ISSN: 2640-1371 (Online)



Safety and Efficacy of SGLT2 Inhibitors Versus DPP4 Inhibitors in Fasting Patients with T2-Diabetes Mellitus During Ramadan in Egypt (EMPA-Ramadan)

Hesham El Hefnawy^{1, *}, Mohamed Abdel Hady Mashahit², Khaled El Sayed El Hadidy³, Heba Ramadan⁴, Athar Reda Ibrahim¹, Amany Kamel⁴, Hazem Samy Matar³, Abdelrahman Ahmed Ewaise²

¹Departement of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes, National Institute for Diabetes and Endocrinology, Cairo, Egypt

²Department of Internal Medicine, Fayuom University Hospitals, Fayoum, Egypt

³Department of Internal Medicine, Beni-Suef University Hospitals, Beni-Suef, Egypt

⁴Department of Internal Medicine, National Institute for Diabetes and Endocrinology, Cairo, Egypt

Email address:

drhefnawy@yahoo.com (Hesham El Hefnawy), mam22@fayoum.edu.eg (Mohamed Abdel Hady Mashahit), kshadidy@hotmail.com (Khaled El Sayed El Hadidy), hebar235@gmail.com (Heba Ramadan), athrrda@yahoo.com (Athar Reda Ibrahim), amanykamel73@gmail.com (Amany Kamel), hazemsamy2005@yahoo.com (Hazem Samy Matar), Aae11@gmail.com (Abdelrahman Ahmed Ewaise)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article:

Hesham El Hefnawy, Mohamed Abdel Hady Mashahit, Khaled El Sayed El Hadidy, Heba Ramadan, Athar Reda Ibrahim, Amany Kamel, Hazem Samy Matar, Abdelrahman Ahmed Ewaise. Safety and Efficacy of SGLT2 Inhibitors Versus DPP4 Inhibitors in Fasting Patients with T2-Diabetes Mellitus During Ramadan in Egypt (EMPA-Ramadan). *International Journal of Diabetes and Endocrinology*. Vol. 7, No. 3, 2022, pp. 81-90. doi: 10.11648/j.ijde.20220703.17

Received: August 17, 2022; Accepted: September 2, 2022; Published: September 14, 2022

Abstract: This is a multicenter, observational, comparative, phase IV study, conducted to assess safety and efficacy of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2 I) versus dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP-4 I), added to biguanides for both groups, in managing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) during Ramadan fasting among Muslim Egyptian patients. All patients aged \geq 18 years old, on SGLT2-inhibitor or DPP-4 inhibitor added on metformin for at least one month before Ramadan, willing to fast Ramadan. Demographic data, detailed medical history and laboratory results were collected before and after Ramadan. Out of 300 enrolled patients, three patients from group B (DPP-4 inhibitor) had mild hypoglycemic episodes and none in group A (SGLT2 inhibitor). Mean HbA1c markedly decreased after Ramadan fasting in Group A with a statistically significant difference (*p*-value = 0.021) and decreased in group B with no statistical significance (*p*-value = 0.365). Both medications demonstrated weight loss in patients, with a very highly statistically significant difference between both groups (*p*-value < 0.001). There was urinary tract infection (UTI) in both groups, with no statistical significance. No diabetic ketoacidosis was reported in the study. 6 (3.8%) of total patients in group A and 11 (7.7%) in group B had adverse events as; UTI, hypoglycemia, and genital infection. All AEs were mild to moderate and not related to medications of the trial. No serious adverse events (SAEs) were reported in the study. Both SGLT2 inhibitors and DPP4 inhibitors are safe to be used and effective in the management of T2DM during Ramadan Fasting.

Keywords: Ramadan Fasting, Empagliflozin, Vildagliptin

1. Introduction

Fasting during the Holy month of Ramadan is one of the five pillars of Islam and is obligatory for all healthy Muslim

adults. Fasting Ramadan is common among people with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) [1], despite the potential risk of acute complications. Diabetes is a chronic disease requiring continuous management [2]. A cornerstone of

Ramadan diabetes management is patients' education, which should include information on risks, lifestyle changes, glucose monitoring, nutrition, exercise, and medication [3, 4].

Many studies showed the Efficacy and Safety of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP-4 I) compared to sulfonylurea (SU) in patients with T2DM during Fasting in Ramadan [5]. However, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has found that the use of saxagliptin and alogliptin in T2DM may increase the risk of heart failure, particularly in patients who already have heart or kidney disease. Two clinical trials were conducted in patients with heart disease "SAVOR & EXAMINE" showed that more patients who received saxagliptin or alogliptin were hospitalized for heart failure than patients who received placebo [6]. Also, many studies showed the Efficacy and Safety of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2 I) in T2DM patients during Ramadan fasting [7, 8]. SGLT2 inhibitors have demonstrated a reduction in cardiovascular events and delay in the progression of kidney disease in patients with T2DM [9]. DAPA-HF trial and EMPEROR-Reduced trial evaluated the effects of dapagliflozin and empagliflozin, respectively, in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Also, the recently published SOLOIST-WHF trial studied the effects of sotagliflozin in patients with HFrEF and patients with heart failure (HF). It preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). All three trials showed a significant reduction in HF hospitalization and cardiovascular death without an increased risk of serious adverse EMPA-REG events [10-12]. Besides, OUTCOME (empagliflozin), CANVAS Program & CREDENCE (Canagliflozin), and DECLARE-TIMI 58 (dapagliflozin) studies showed that the use of SGLT2 inhibitors reduced the development of end-stage kidney disease or acute kidney injury. Also, it reduced the risk of dialysis, transplantation, or death in T2DM patients who develop kidney diseases [13-15]. According to International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and Diabetes and Ramadan (DAR) guidelines, SGLT2 inhibitors are effective in glycemic control and weight loss and have a low risk of hypoglycemia to be safe for diabetic patients during Ramadan. Moreover, they have demonstrated [16] improvements in cardiovascular and renal problems; as mentioned above, SGLT2 inhibitors are better used in T2DM patients with cardiovascular and/or renal problems. This study aimed to compare the safety and efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitors versus DPP-4 inhibitors, added to metformin in managing T2DM during Ramadan fasting among Muslim Egyptian patients.

2. Methods

The study is a phase IV (post-marketing) study. It was conducted in the outpatient clinics of 3 sites in Egypt: National Institute of Diabetes and Endocrinology (NIDE), AI Fayoum University Hospital, and Beni-Suef University Hospital to assess the safety and efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitors versus DPP-4 inhibitors added to biguanides for both groups, in management of T2DM during Ramadan fasting among Muslim Egyptian diabetic patients.

The study enrolled 300 Egyptian diabetic patients with

T2DM receiving either SGLT2 inhibitors (empagliflozin or dapagliflozin) or DPP-4 inhibitors (sitagliptin or vildagliptin) according to their therapeutic dose as per guidelines for diabetes mellitus control, added on 2000 mg metformin daily in 2 divided doses for the two arms, for at least one month before Ramadan.

Patients were evaluated at baseline, two weeks before Ramadan, two weeks after Ramadan, and one month after the end of Ramadan (3 months from baseline visit), with a window of 2 weeks for all visits.

Patients received an education, including information on risks, lifestyle changes, self-glucose monitoring, nutrition, exercise, and medication during Ramadan fasting. Also, patients were asked to self-check blood sugar by a given glucometer at home at 7 points, as per DAR guidelines 2020. Points are: suhor (the last meal eaten by Muslims before the sun has come up, before fasting), morning, midday, midafternoon, immediately before iftar (a meal eaten by Muslims at sundown to break the daily fast during Ramadan), 2 hours after iftar, and at any time when feeling any symptoms of hypo or hyperglycemia or not feeling well).

Hypoglycemia is a clinical finding confirmed by measuring blood glucose level, according to American Diabetes and association (ADA), hypoglycemia is usually when blood glucose level is less than 70 mg/dL. Signs and symptoms of low blood glucose according to ADA include; tremors, nervousness or anxiety, sweating, chills, irritability or impatience, confusion, tachycardia, dizziness, hunger, nausea, pallor, weakness, drowsiness, blurred vision, tingling or numbness in the lips, tongue or cheeks, headache, nightmares and seizures. Presence of any symptom of them will be considered for primary endpoint and will be confirmed by self-monitoring blood glucose level.

2.1. Inclusion Criteria

Egyptian male and female Muslim patients, aged ≥ 18 years old. With T2DM, willing to fast Ramadan and treated with SGLT2 inhibitors (empagliflozin, dapagliflozin) or DPP-4 inhibitors (sitagliptin, vildagliptin) as an add-on to metformin for both arms, for one month before Ramadan. Willing to sign Informed Consent Form (ICF) and be ready to comply with the protocol for the duration of the study.

2.2. Exclusion Criteria

Subjects with uncontrolled hyperglycemia; HbA1c more than 9%, with any uncontrolled endocrine disorder except T2DM. Patients with chronic liver disease (serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), or alkaline phosphatase above 2 times upper limit of normal value). Patients with chronic renal disease (S creatinine above 1.5 mg/dl and/ or estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <45 ml/min/1.73 m²). Patients on renal dialysis, with a history of ketoacidosis in the past six months or had a history of malignancy during the past three years. Also, subjects with strokes including transient ischemic attack (TIA), diabetic foot infection, amputation (non-

traumatic even minor), or gangrene were excluded. In addition to pregnant or breast-feeding women or subjects on any anti-diabetic treatment rather than SGLT2, DPP4 antagonist, and metformin. Besides, those who had a history of frequent urinary tract infections (UTI) were also excluded from the study.

2.3. Clinical Grouping

372 patients were screened, and out of them, 300 patients were enrolled in 2 groups and distributed in the three sites as follows;

Group A: 157 Patients received SGLT2 inhibitors, and Group B: 143 Patients received DPP4 inhibitors, with Metformin 2000 mg daily in both groups.

All patients completed the study without any non-compliance or dropout.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Performed analysis of all efficacy variables was done for patients as they completed the study without protocol violation (as per protocol, PP) regarding primary and secondary endpoints.

2.4.1. Primary Endpoints

Severe hypoglycemic episodes that might force patients to break Ramadan fasting, by symptoms of hypoglycemia mentioned by patients and to be confirmed by self-glucose measurement.

2.4.2. Secondary Endpoints

- 1) The difference in HbA1c between patients treated with SGLT2 inhibitors and DPP-4 inhibitors added on 2000 mg metformin daily in 2 divided doses for both groups, in managing T2DM during Ramadan fasting.
- 2) Study Hypoglycemic and/ or hyperglycemic episodes that led to treatment shifting or dose modification during Ramadan fasting.
- 3) Evaluation of weight loss.
- 4) Evaluation of UTI.
- 5) Evaluation of Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA).

6) Evaluation of serious/non-serious adverse events.

Analysis was done using IBM SPSS version 24, and all tests were performed on the 5% level of significance.

Using Chi² test for unpaired categorical variables, Paired ttest for estimating the change in numerical variables throughout the study visits, Student un-paired t-test for estimating the comparison between the subgroups for the numerical variables, P-values less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Multivariate logistic regression was used to find regression coefficient (B) and odd ratio (OR) to fit the relationship between the group of hypoglycemic versus no hypo., change in HbA1c (divided into 3 groups; "0" is no change in HbA1c, "< 0" decrease in HbA1c and "> 0" Increase in HbA1c), change in weight (divided into three groups; "0" is no change in weight, "< 0" decrease in weight and "> 0" Increase in HbA1c), change in weight (divided into three groups; "0" is no change in weight, "< 0" decrease in weight and "> 0" Increase in HbA1c, HbA1c, "< 0" decrease in weight and "> 0" Increase in HbA1c, HbA1c, "< 0" decrease in weight and "> 0" Increase in HbA1c, "< 0" decrease in weight, and "> 0" Increase in HbA1c, HbA1c, "< 0" decrease in Weight, and "> 0" Increase in Weight, HbA1c, and Treatment Group.

3. Ethics Statement

The study was conducted in compliance with the applicable regulatory requirements and the principles of Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Teaching Hospitals (approval No. IDE 00220), IRB committee of Fayoum faculty of Medicine and approved by Research Ethical Committee of faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef university (FM-BSU REC) and from Research and Human Development of Egyptian Ministry of Health (RHD of MOH).

4. Results

4.1. Patients' Characteristics at Baseline Visit

"Table 1" shows that males and females were equally distributed in the two treatment groups with no statistically significant difference in Gender, p-value = 0.488.

Patients' characteristics	Group A	Group B	D X7-1 a	
Ν	157	143	- P-Value	
Male, N (%)	65 (41.4%)	58 (40.6%)	0.489	
Female, N (%)	92 (58.6%)	85 (59.4%)	0.488	
Mean age (Years), (SD)	54.35 (10.06)	52.21 (9.54)	0.061	
SBP (mm Hg), (V1)	126.40	124.39	0.058	
DBP (mm Hg), (V1)	78.92	77.862	0.060	
Pulse (BPM), (V1)	81.37	81.71	0.529	
Body Weight (Kg), (V1)	91.4 (13.2)	88.8 (13.8)	0.106	
BMI (kg/m ²)	33.4 (4.8)	32.3 (5.3)	0.067	
HbA1c (%)	7.19	6.98	0.060	
RBS (mg/dl), Mean (SD)	147.14 (44.97)	138.42 (45.260	0.105	
ALT (U/L), Mean (SD)	21.80 (11.41)	23.51 (12.66)	0.233	
AST (U/L), Mean (SD)	18.69 (11.41)	20.35 (8.11)	0.233	
S Creatinine (mg/dl), Mean (SD)	0.84 (016)	0.81 (0.20)	0.165	
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m ²), Mean (SD)	93.27 (16.51)	96.99 (16.03)	0.059	

Table 1. Patients' characteristics at baseline visit between the 2 treatment groups.

^a Un- Paired t-test was done between main values of 2 groups.

4.1.1. Vital Signs

"Table 1" shows that at baseline visit; there was no statistically significant difference between the two treatment groups in all vital signs, *p*-value > 0.05.



Figure 1. Percent of medical history among 2 treatment Groups.

4.1.3. Laboratory Tests

"Table 1" shows that at baseline visit; mean lab results (liver and kidney function tests) showed no statistically significant difference between the 2 treatment groups.

4.1.4. Diabetes Mellitus Treatment

87% of patients in Group A used medication from empagliflozin, and 13% used dapagliflozin, while 95% of patients in group B used medications from vildagliptin and only 5% used Sitagliptin, plus metformin 2000mgm daily in the two groups.

4.1.5. Concomitant Medications

Most enrolled patients in the study took concomitant medications at baseline visit and in the three visits of the study for; hypertension, cardiovascular protection, peripheral neuropathy, dyslipidemia, GERD, genital infection, and urinary tract infection (UTI).

4.2. Primary Endpoints

Hypoglycemic episodes that might force patients to break Ramadan fasting: It was reported that three patients had mild to moderate hypoglycemic episodes by symptoms in group B. Two of them had confirmed hypoglycemia by selfmonitoring of blood glucose level, less than 70mg/dl. None was in group A, with no statistically significant difference between the two treatment groups (p-value > 0.05). Their hypoglycemic episodes led to break Ramadan fasting temporarily for the same day and then continued fasting on the same treatment without dose adjustment. Each patient had one hypoglycemic episode only.

4.3. Secondary Endpoints

4.3.1. The Difference in HbA1c Between SGLT2 Inhibitors and DPP-4 Inhibitors Added on 2000 mg Metformin Daily for Both Groups

"Table 2" shows that there was no statistically significant difference in HBA1c at baseline visit between the two groups (*p*-value = 0.060). However, there was a statistically significant difference in the percent change of HbA1c between baseline visit and end of the study in Group A (*p*-value = 0.021), no statistically significant difference in group B (*p*-value = 0.365), and no statistically significant difference between the two treatment groups (*p*-value = 0.305).

 Table 2. Average HbA1c and weight at the end of the study between the 2 treatment Groups.

N	Group A	Group B	
IN	157	143	
Mean (SD) HbA1c1 (%)	7.19 (0.97)	6.98 (0.94)	
Mean (SD) HbA1c3 (%)	6.99 (0.95)	6.90 (0.91)	
HbA1c3 – HbA1c1	-0.2	-0.08	
% Change	-2.8%	-1.1%	
<i>P</i> -value between V1 & V3 ^a	0.021	0.365	
<i>p</i> -value between 2 groups regarding the absolute change in HbA1c V1 to V3 ^b	0.305		
Mean (SD) Weight1 (Kg)	91.4 (13.2)	88.8 (13.3)	
Mean (SD) Weight3 (Kg)	89.4 (13.2)	88.1 (13.6)	
Weight3 – Weight1	-2.05	-0.70	
% Change	-2.2%	-0.8%	

4.1.2. Medical History for Enrolled Patients

"Figure 1" shows patients of Group A and B had concomitant diseases; hypertension, peripheral neuritis (PN), dyslipidemia, erectile dysfunction (E.D), gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), osteoarthritis (O.A) and ischemic heart disease (IHD), with no statistical difference between the 2 treatment groups.

N	Group A	Group B
IN	157	143
<i>P</i> -value between V1 & V3 ^a	< 0.001	< 0.001
p-value between 2 groups regarding absolute change in weight V1 to V3 ^b	< 0.001	

^a Paired t-test was done between main values of 2 groups

^b Un- Paired t-test was done between main values of 2 groups.

4.3.2. Evaluation of Weight Loss

"Table 2" shows that there was no statistically significant difference in patients' body weight at baseline visit between the two treatment groups (*p*-value = 0.105). While there was a very highly statistically significant difference in the percent change of weight between baseline visit and end of the study in Group A & Group B (*p*-value < 0.001), and also in percent change from V1 to V3 between the two treatment groups (*p*-value < 0.001).

4.3.3. Study Hypoglycemic and/or Hyperglycemic Episodes That Led to Treatment Shifting or Dose Modification During Ramadan Fasting

Both treatment groups did not need treatment shift or dose modification due to hypo or hyperglycemia during Ramadan.

4.3.4. Evaluation of Urinary Tract Infection (UTI)



Figure 2. Percent of UTI among 2 Treatment Groups.

The "Figure 2" shows that there was UTI in patients of group A & B by 1.9% and 3.5% respectively from the total

population of the group, with no statistically significant difference in UTI during Ramadan fasting between the two treatment groups (*p*-value = 0.947) or in the entire duration of the study (*p*-value = 0.395).

4.3.5. Evaluation of Diabetic Ketoacidosis (DKA)

No DKA was recorded during the study in patients of the 2 treatment groups.

4.4. Multivariate Logistic Regression for Main Endpoints

Incidence of hypoglycemia, UTI, and AE was not associated with any predictors such as Gender, Age, Weight, HbA1c, or Treatment Group.

Change in HbA1c: The comparison between change in (HbA1c < 0) and change in (HbA1c = 0). Only HbA1c was a significantly predictor and negatively associated (B= -1.323, SE= 0.324, *p*-value < 0.001). Also, the comparison between change in (HbA1c < 0) and change in (HbA1c > 0). Again, HbA1c was a significantly predictor and negatively associated (B= -1.355, SE= 0.184, *p*-value < 0.001).

"Table 3" shows that change in Weight: The comparison between change in (Weight < 0) and change in (Weight = 0). Only Treatment Group was a significantly predictor and positively associated (B=0.814, SE=0.307, *p*-value = 0.008). While the comparison between change in (Weight < 0) and change in (Weight > 0); Weight was a significantly predictor and negatively associated (B=-0.042, SE=0.017, *p*-value = 0.012) and Treatment Group was a significantly predictor and positively associated (B=1.662, SE=0.490, p-value = 0.001).

Tahle 3	Multivariate	Invistic	reoression	for	main	Endn	oints
aute 5.	munivariate	iogisiic	regression	101	main	Епир	ounis

		D	CIE.		OD	95% CI for OR	
		В	SE	<i>p</i> -value	OK	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	Intercept	31.476	7.486	< 0.001			
	Gender	1.078	1.264	0.394	2.939	0.247	35.022
Incidence of	Age	0.002	0.064	0.977	1.002	0.883	1.136
hypoglycemia ^a	Weight	-0.024	0.044	0.585	0.976	0.895	1.064
	HbA1c	1.100	0.864	0.203	3.003	0.553	16.314
	Treatment Group	-17.153	NA	NA	NA		
	Intercept	8.344	3.667	0.023			
	Gender	0.379	0.546	0.487	1.461	0.501	4.257
Change in HbA1c ^b	Age	-0.005	0.026	0.848	0.995	0.945	1.047
(Change in $HbA1c = 0$)	Weight	-0.012	0.020	0.531	0.988	0.950	1.027
	HbA1c	-1.323	0.324	< 0.001	0.266	0.141	0.502
	Treatment Group	-0.419	0.529	0.428	0.658	.233	1.853
	Intercept	9.656	2.100	.000			
	Gender	0.185	0.296	0.531	1.204	0.674	2.150
Change in HbA1c ^b	Age	-0.013	0.015	0.395	0.987	0.959	1.017
(Change in $HbA1c > 0$)	Weight	0.000	0.011	0.991	1.000	0.979	1.021
/	HbA1c	-1.355	0.184	< 0.001	0.258	0.180	0.370
	Treatment Group	-0.251	0.296	0.397	0.778	0.436	1.390

			a r		0.0	95% CI for OR		
		В	SE	<i>p</i> -value	OR	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
	Intercept	-2.527	2.060	0.220				
	Gender	0.352	0.316	0.265	1.421	0.766	2.639	
Change in Weight °	Age	0.008	0.016	0.625	1.008	0.977	1.039	
(Change in Weight $= 0$)	Weight	-0.014	0.011	0.219	0.986	0.964	1.008	
	HbA1c	0.053	0.159	0.739	1.055	0.772	1.441	
	Treatment Group	0.814	0.307	0.008	2.256	1.236	4.117	
	Intercept	-0.468	2.913	0.872				
	Gender	-0.024	0.425	0.956	0.977	0.424	2.248	
Change in Weight °	Age	0.003	0.021	0.876	1.003	0.962	1.046	
(Change in Weight > 0)	Weight	-0.042	0.017	0.012	0.959	0.928	0.991	
	HbA1c	-0.085	0.222	0.702	0.919	0.594	1.419	
	Treatment Group	1.662	0.490	0.001	5.268	2.016	13.767	
	Intercept	5.473	5.019	0.276				
	Gender	-0.731	0.832	0.380	0.481	0.094	2.460	
L; J CUTI 8	Age	-0.042	0.040	0.292	0.959	0.887	1.037	
	Weight	-0.015	0.026	0.560	0.985	0.937	1.036	
	HbA1c	0.594	0.425	0.162	1.812	0.788	4.164	
	Treatment Group	-0.675	0.760	0.374	0.509	0.115	2.257	
	Intercept	4.066	3.752	0.278				
	Gender	-0.240	0.577	0.678	0.787	0.254	2.438	
Incidence of Adverse	Age	-0.020	0.029	0.490	0.980	0.925	1.038	
Event ^a	Weight	-0.015	0.020	0.438	0.985	0.947	1.024	
	HbA1c	0.425	0.310	0.171	1.530	0.833	2.812	
	Treatment Group	-0.716	0.584	0.220	0.489	0.156	1.535	

^a Incidence of hypoglycemia, UTI and AE; the reference category is: Yes

^b Change in HbA1c; the reference category is: change in HbA1c < 0

 $^{\rm c}$ Change in Weight; the reference category is: change in Weight < 0.

B: Regression Coefficient, SE: Standard Error, OR: Odd Ratio of B and *p*-value: significant value

Gender (for each change from male to female), age (for each increase one year), weight (for each increase 1 kg), HbA1c (for each increase of 1%), treatment group (for each change from group A to group B).

4.5. Adverse Events

"Table 4" shows that adverse events were in the form of UTI, hypoglycemia, genital infection, GI upset, Covid-19, and skin rash.

Adverse Event	Group	4	Group	В
N (%)	157	%	143	%
UTI	3	1.9%	5	3.5%
Hypoglycemia	0	0%	3	2.1%
Genital Infection	1	0.6%	1	0.7%
GI Upset	0	0%	2	1.4%
COVID-19	1	0.6%	0	0%
Skin rash	1	0.6%	0	0%
Grand Total	6	3.8%	11	7.7%

Table 4. Adverse events in both treatment groups.

All AE were mild to moderate, and some patients with AE were given concomitant medication & resolved completely without sequelae.

There was no statistically significant difference in AE between patients in the two treatment groups (*p*-value = 0.148) in the study. *No serious adverse event (SAE)* was reported in the study.

5. Discussion

5.1. Hypoglycemic Episodes That Might Force Patients to Break Ramadan Fasting

There were three patients with symptomatic hypoglycemic

episodes during Ramadan fasting in group B (DPP-4 inhibitors), and none in group A (SGLT2 inhibitors). VIRTUE study showed that the vildagliptin (DPP-4 inhibitors) was associated with significantly fewer hypoglycemic episodes than SU. [17]. Devendra et al conducted an observational, nonrandomized study. They found the number of patients with hypoglycemic events during Ramadan was markedly lower in vildagliptin (DPP-4 inhibitor group) than gliclazide (SU group) [18]. As the class of DPP-4 inhibitors blocks the degradation of endogenous glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) thus, extending their actions leads to an increase in α - and β -cell sensitivity to glucose, modulation of insulin and glucagon secretion depending on the blood glucose level. Consequently, DPP-4 inhibitors are associated with a low risk of hypoglycemia [5]. S. Al Sifri et al found that switching to a sitagliptin-based regimen during Ramadan fasting decreased the risk of hypoglycemia compared with remaining on a sulphonylurea-based regimen [19].

Hypoglycemic episodes in this study occurred once in each patient during Ramadan fasting. Two of the three patients had confirmed low blood glucose levels, less than 70mg/dl. The VIRTUE study showed that many patients with hypoglycemic episodes were confirmed by blood glucose level and were once during Ramadan fasting [17].

Wan Seman et al study, which studied switching from SU to Dapagliflozin (SGLT2 inhibitors) in the fasting month of Ramadan, showed that fewer patients exhibited

hypoglycemia in the SGLT2 inhibitor group versus the SU group. The insulin-independent mechanism of SGLT2 inhibitors blocks the reabsorption of glucose in the proximal tubule of the kidneys and promotes the excretion of excess glucose in the urine. Hence, the risk of hypoglycemia is rare. [8]. A. Bashier et al, in their study, mentioned that a minority of patients broke their fast due to symptomatic hypoglycemia. However, the use of SGLT2 inhibitor was not associated with hypoglycemia unless there was concomitant use of other agents known to cause hypoglycemia, as sulfonylureas and insulin [7]. Nauck MA et al showed that use of Dapagliflozin produced much less hypoglycemia than Glipizide (3.5% of patients vs. 40.8%; *p*-value = 0.0001) in T2DM patients inadequately controlled with metformin [20].

5.2. The Difference in HbA1c Between SGLT2 Inhibitors and DPP-4 Inhibitors in Management of T2DM During Ramadan Fasting

HbA1c dropped by 2.8% from baseline visit to end of the study in Group A (SGLT2 inhibitors Group) and by 1.1% in group B (DPP-4 inhibitors Group), with no statistically significant difference between 2 treatment groups.

Bashier A et al study [7] showed that, HbA1c at baseline in patients treated with SGLT2-I plus oral hypoglycemics was $7.8\pm1.5\%$ then markedly reduced to $7.4\pm1.4\%$ (5.1% decrease rate) by end of Ramadan, with a very highly statistically significant difference (p < 0.001).

Results of our study reflect published data in the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR) about the Study DIA3005 of canagliflozin (SGLT2 inhibitors) on its effect in reducing HbA1c by 0.91% for 100 mg, depending on the premedication and baseline [21]. James F. List, et al study showed that A1C reduction associated with dapagliflozin (SGLT2 inhibitors) ranged from 0.55-0.90% versus placebo, based on dose, the severity of diabetes, and other patientspecific factors [22]. Serge A. Jabbour et al study; who studied the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin as add-on therapy in patients with T2DM who were inadequately controlled with DPP-4 inhibitor with or without metformin, showed a marked reduction in HbA1c from baseline in the Dapagliflozin group compared with placebo at week 24 with; (P-value<0.0001). Also, there was significant reduction in HbA1c in dapagliflozin group versus placebo whether added to Sitagliptin or Metformin with; (P-value<0.0001) [23].

VIRTUE study compared the experience of DPP-4 inhibitors versus SU in management of patients with T2DM fasting during Ramadan fasting, the mean change in HbA1c from pre-Ramadan to study end was -0.24% in the vildagliptin group and +0.02% in the SU group (*P*-value = 0.001 between-group difference) [17].

5.3. Evaluation of Weight Loss

SGLT2 inhibitors specifically target the kidney by blocking the reabsorption of filtered glucose, thus leading to increased urinary glucose excretion, especially when hyperglycemia is present, which leads to weight loss [24]. Study DIA3002 showed decreased body weight with Canagliflozin groups compared to the placebo group (-2% for 100mg compared to -0.6% in the placebo group). Study DIA3009; showed a bodyweight reduction in the Canagliflozin groups compared to a weight gain in the glimepiride group. While in Study DIA3006; the DPP-4 inhibitor Sitagliptin, did not affect weight [21].

James F. List, et al study; showed that Dapagliflozin facilitates weight loss in T2DM by excretion of glucose with urinary loss of ~200-300 kcal/day. So, mean percent body weight reductions at week 12 were 2.5 to 3.4% in Dapagliflozin group, 1.2% in placebo group, and 1.7% in Metformin group. The mean percent changes in waist circumference were-1.6 to-3.5% in Dapagliflozin group, -1.2% in placebo group, and -2.2% in Metformin group, so more patients achieved >5% reduction in body weight with Dapagliflozin than with placebo and Metformin and [22].

VIRTUE study showed that in Vildagliptin group; body weight decreased by 0.76 kg versus by 0.13 kg in the Sulphonyl Urea group with *P*-value< 0.001 [18]. While, J. E. Foley et al study showed that there was weight increase by 0.8 ± 0.2 kg in the Vildagliptin group compared to 1.6 ± 0.2 kg in the gliclazide group (*p*-value < 0.01). So, there was weight gain in the SU group relative to a tendency to weight loss or less weight gain in the Vildagliptin group [25].

5.4. Evaluation of UTI

In Group A: 3 patients had UTI during the whole study duration, 2 of them occurred after the end of Ramadan fasting, and in Group B: 5 had UTI, 4 of them also occurred after the end of Ramadan fasting, with no study discontinuation due to UTI. Also, occurrence after Ramadan fasting is most probably related to patients' lifestyles rather than the effect of the medication during fasting.

SGLT2-inhibitors block glucose reabsorption in the proximal renal tubules, where 90% of glucose reabsorption occurs, resulting in urinary glucose, which leads to a higher risk of urinary tract infection [7], that is a common condition in patients with diabetes and is resolved with standard medical treatment [8].

Wan Seman et al study showed that UTI is slightly more increased in Dapagliflozin group than placebo group (10.3 versus 3.8%; *P*-value= 0.277) [8]. Nauck MA et al study proved that urinary tract infections occurred more frequently with patients treated with Dapagliflozin than those treated with Glipizide, but responded to standard treatment and rarely led to study discontinuation [20].

S. Al Sifri et al found while comparing safety between Sitagliptin group (DPP-4 inhibitor) and SU group that there was no UTI occurred in patients who received Sitagliptin, contrary to patients who received SU treatment, from which some cases were hospitalized and thus considered as serious adverse events [19].

5.5. Evaluation of DKA

No DKA was recorded during the study in patients of the

two treatment groups, with similar data in publications from Bashir et al regarding both medication groups in Ramadan [7].

Ramadan fasting in diabetic patients also poses risks of hyperglycemia and DKA due to several factors, as eating habits that are altered to include more carbohydrates, latenight eating, and elimination or adjustment of diabetes medications [7]. In this study, patients' education minimized the risk of DKA.

6. Safety

Kristina M. Johnsson et al study confirmed that genital infections such as vulvovaginitis in women and balanitis in men are well-known complications of T2DM [26].

Serge A. Jabbour et al study showed at week 48, genital infection was more frequent with Dapagliflozin (9.8%) than with placebo (0.4%), while urinary tract infection was equal in Dapagliflozin (6.7%) and placebo (6.2%) [23]. Increased glucosuria due to SGLT2 inhibitors increases the risk of genitourinary infections as per CANVAS program. Canagliflozin was associated with a higher risk of mycotic genital infection in women (represent 6.88%, P < 0.001) and infection of male genitalia (represent 3.49%, P < 0.001), compared to placebo [26]. However, in this study; there was only one female who suffered from genital infection (represents 0.6% of the total group population) from SGLT2 inhibitors group and one female (represents 0.7% of the total group population) from DPP-4 inhibitors group, similar to the data from Kristina M. Johnsson et al. [26].

Nauck MA et al study found that higher proportions of patients receiving Dapagliflozin suffered from genital infections or UTIs than Glipizide. About half of the genital infections were recurrent, whereas most UTIs were single episodes [20].

Virtue study during Ramadan fasting showed that 10.2% of the Vildagliptin group had adverse events versus 22.8% in SU group. And the main adverse events were hypoglycemia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, and abdominal discomfort. One patient had a myocardial infarction resulting in death (SAE), and another had viral hepatitis [17].

All AEs occurred during this study were mild to moderate, and there was no reported SAE.

7. Conclusion

SGLT2 inhibitors (empagliflozin) are as safe and effective as DPP-4 inhibitors (vildagliptin) in managing diabetes mellitus type 2 during Ramadan; in decreasing HbA1C, in weight loss and did not induce hypoglycemia that stops patients from fasting or needed dose modification. The results of this research will also give attention to use this group of medications for diabetics during one day surgeries and with endoscopic examination that need long periods of fasting, to control their blood glucose without hypoglycemia or any other side effects.

8. Limitations

- 1) As the study is observational, there is no randomization.
- Hypoglycemic episode was based on individual reporting, as one of the three patients who experienced hypoglycemic episodes, had blood glucose level more than 70mg/dl.
- 3) There was no specific diet or healthy lifestyle considering weight loss.

9. Recommendations

- A randomized interventional study with a clear definition of the hypoglycemic episode, of adequate signs and symptoms and;
- 2) With a specific diet between all patients should be conducted.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

Authors enrolled patients, collected data, carried out necessary assessments required for the study and filled in the CRFs of the patients. The corresponding author (Dr M. Hesham El Hefnawy) shared in study design and partially participated in CSR and manuscript writing.

The manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors and each author believes that the manuscript represents honest work, and the requirements for authorship have been met.

Funding Statement

This work was supported and funded by EVA Pharmaceuticals – Egypt.

The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis. But, partially participated in preparation of CSR and manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Data management and Biostatistics were performed by Nagy Research (CRO).

References

- Babineaux SM, Toaima D, Boye KS, Zagar A, Tahbaz A, Jabbar A, et al. Multi-country retrospective observational study of the management and outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes during Ramadan in 2010 (CREED). Diabet Med 2015; 32: 819–28.
- [2] American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. Diabetes Care. 2021 Jan; 44 (Suppl 1): S111-S124. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S009. PMID: 33298420.

- [3] Hassan A, Meo SA, Usmani AM, et al. Diabetes during Ramadan - PRE-approach model: presentation, risk stratification, education. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2014; 18: 1798-805.
- [4] Bravis V, Hui E, Salih S, et al. Ramadan Education and Awareness in Diabetes (READ) programme for Muslims with Type 2 diabetes who fast during Ramadan. Diabet Med 2010; 27: 327-31.
- [5] Schweizer A, Halimi S, Dejager S. Experience with DPP-4 inhibitors in the management of patients with type 2 diabetes fasting during Ramadan. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2014; 10: 15-24. doi: 10.2147/VHRM.S54585. Epub 2013 Dec 24. PMID: 24391442; PMCID: PMC3878957.
- [6] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2022. FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA adds warnings about heart failure risk to labels of type 2 diabetes medicines containing saxagliptin and alogliptin | FDA. [online] Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fdadrug-safety-communication-fda-adds-warnings-about-heartfailure-risk-labels-type-2-diabetes> [Accessed 29 August 2022].
- [7] zBashier A, Khalifa A, Abdelgadir E, Al Saeed M, Al Qaysi A, Ali Bayati M et al. Safety of Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors (SGLT2-I) During the Month of Ramadan in Muslim Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Oman Medical Journal. 2018; 33 (2): 104-110.
- [8] Wan Seman W, Kori N, Rajoo S, Othman H, Mohd Noor N, Wahab N et al. Switching from sulphonylurea to a sodiumglucose cotransporter2 inhibitor in the fasting month of Ramadan is associated with a reduction in hypoglycaemia. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism. 2016; 18 (6): 628-632.
- [9] Minze MG, Will KJ, Terrell BT, Black RL, Irons BK. Benefits of SGLT2 Inhibitors Beyond Glycemic Control - A Focus on Metabolic, Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes. Curr Diabetes Rev. 2018; 14 (6): 509-517.
- [10] McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Køber L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, Ponikowski P, Sabatine MS, Anand IS, Bělohlávek J, Böhm M, Chiang CE, Chopra VK, de Boer RA, Desai AS, Diez M, Drozdz J, Dukát A, Ge J, Howlett JG, Katova T, Kitakaze M, Ljungman CEA, Merkely B, Nicolau JC, O'Meara E, Petrie MC, Vinh PN, Schou M, Tereshchenko S, Verma S, Held C, DeMets DL, Docherty KF, Jhund PS, Bengtsson O, Sjöstrand M, Langkilde AM; DAPA-HF Trial Committees and Investigators. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med. 2019 Nov 21; 381 (21): 1995-2008. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1911303. Epub 2019 Sep 19. PMID: 31535829.
- [11] Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Pocock SJ, Carson P, Januzzi J, Verma S, Tsutsui H, Brueckmann M, Jamal W, Kimura K, Schnee J, Zeller C, Cotton D, Bocchi E, Böhm M, Choi DJ, Chopra V, Chuquiure E, Giannetti N, Janssens S, Zhang J, Gonzalez Juanatey JR, Kaul S, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Merkely B, Nicholls SJ, Perrone S, Pina I, Ponikowski P, Sattar N, Senni M, Seronde MF, Spinar J, Squire I, Taddei S, Wanner C, Zannad F; EMPEROR-Reduced Trial Investigators. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. N Engl J Med. 2020 1413-1424. Oct 8: 383 (15): doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2022190. Epub 2020 Aug 28. PMID: 32865377.

- Bhatt, D. L., Szarek, M., Steg, P. G., Cannon, C. P., Leiter, L. A., McGuire, D. K., Lewis, J. B., Riddle, M. C., Voors, A. A., Metra, M., Lund, L. H., Komajda, M., Testani, J. M., Wilcox, C. S., Ponikowski, P., Lopes, R. D., Verma, S., Lapuerta, P., & Pitt, B. (2021). Sotagliflozin in Patients with Diabetes and Recent Worsening Heart Failure. New England Journal of Medicine, 384 (2), 117-128.
- [13] Wanner C, Heerspink HJL, Zinman B, Inzucchi SE, Koitka-Weber A, Mattheus M, Hantel S, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC, von Eynatten M, Groop PH; EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin and Kidney Function Decline in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Slope Analysis from the EMPA-REG OUTCOME Trial. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018 Nov; 29 (11): 2755-2769. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2018010103. Epub 2018 Oct 12. PMID: 30314978; PMCID: PMC6218863.
- [14] Carbone, S., Dixon, D. L. The CANVAS Program: implications of canagliflozin on reducing cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cardiovasc Diabetol 18, 64 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-019-0869-2
- [15] Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Cahn A, Rozenberg A, Yanuv I, Goodrich EL, Murphy SA, Heerspink HJL, Zelniker TA, Dwyer JP, Bhatt DL, Leiter LA, McGuire DK, Wilding JPH, Kato ET, Gause-Nilsson IAM, Fredriksson M, Johansson PA, Langkilde AM, Sabatine MS, Raz I. Effects of dapagliflozin on development and progression of kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: an analysis from the DECLARE-TIMI 58 randomised trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019 Aug; 7 (8): 606-617. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30180-9. Epub 2019 Jun 10. Erratum in: Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019 Aug; 7 (8): e20. PMID: 31196815.
- [16] Hassanein M, Al-Arouj M, Hamdy O, Bebakar WM, Jabbar A, Al-Madani A, Hanif W, Lessan N, Basit A, Tayeb K, Omar MA. Diabetes and Ramadan: practical guidelines. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2017 Apr 1; 126: 303-16.
- [17] Hassoun A, Al-Arouj M, Ibrahim M. The effect of vildagliptin relative to sulfonylurea as dual therapy with metformin (or as monotherapy) in Muslim patients with type 2 diabetes fasting during Ramadan in the Middle East: the VIRTUE study. Current Medical Research and Opinion. 2016; 33 (1): 161-167.
- [18] Devendra D, Gohel B, Bravis V, Hui E, Salih S, Mehar S, Hassanein M. Vildagliptin therapy and hypoglycaemia in Muslim type 2 diabetes patients during Ramadan. Int J Clin Pract. 2009 Oct; 63 (10): 1446-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02171.x. Epub 2009 Aug 12. PMID: 19678856.
- [19] Al Sifri S, Basiounny A, Echtay A, et al. The incidence of hypoglycaemia in Muslim patients with type 2 diabetes treated with sitagliptin or a sulphonylurea during Ramadan: a randomised trial. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2011; 65 (11): 1132-1140. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02797.x.
- [20] Nauck MA, Del Prato S, Meier JJ, Durán-García S, Rohwedder K, Elze M, Parikh SJ. Dapagliflozin versus glipizide as add-on therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes who have inadequate glycemic control with metformin: a randomized, 52-week, double-blind, active-controlled noninferiority trial. Diabetes Care. 2011 Sep; 34 (9): 2015-22. doi: 10.2337/dc11-0606. Epub 2011 Aug 4. PMID: 21816980; PMCID: PMC3161265.
- [21] Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use. European Public Assessment Report (EPAR) Canagliflozin. European Medicines Agency 2013. EMA/374133/2013.

- [22] List JF, Woo V, Morales E, Tang W, Fiedorek FT. Sodiumglucose cotransport inhibition with dapagliflozin in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009 Apr; 32 (4): 650-7. doi: 10.2337/dc08-1863. Epub 2008 Dec 29. PMID: 19114612; PMCID: PMC2660449.
- [23] Jabbour SA, Hardy E, Sugg J, Parikh S; Study 10 Group. Dapagliflozin is effective as add-on therapy to sitagliptin with or without metformin: a 24-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Care. 2014; 37 (3): 740-50. doi: 10.2337/dc13-0467. Epub 2013 Oct 21. PMID: 24144654.
- [24] Scheen AJ. Pharmacodynamics, efficacy and safety of sodium-glucose co-transporter type 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs. 2015 Jan; 75

(1): 33-59. doi: 10.1007/s40265-014-0337-y. PMID: 25488697.

- [25] Foley JE, Sreenan S. Efficacy and safety comparison between the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin and the sulfonylurea gliclazide after two years of monotherapy in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes. Horm Metab Res. 2009 Dec; 41 (12): 905-9. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1234042. Epub 2009 Aug 24. Erratum in: Horm Metab Res. 2009 Dec; 41 (12): 909. PMID: 19705345.
- [26] Johnsson KM, Ptaszynska A, Schmitz B, Sugg J, Parikh SJ, List JF. Vulvovaginitis and balanitis in patients with diabetes treated with dapagliflozin. J Diabetes Complications. 2013 Sep-Oct; 27 (5): 479-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2013.04.012. Epub 2013 Jun 24. PMID: 23806570.